UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

YESSUH SUHYES HUSSEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

SAINT LUKES ROOSEVELT HOSPITAL CENTER; NYPD OFFICER JANE DOE/JOHN DOE; NYPD OFFICER JANE DOE/JOHN DOE; HOSPITAL PHYSICIAN JANE DOE/JOHN DOE; AMBULANCE DRIVER JANE DOE/JOHN DOE,

Defendants.

23-CV-4379 (LTS)
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is currently detained in the Otis Bantum Correctional Center on Rikers Island, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Named as Defendants are Saint Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center; two John/Jane Doe New York City Police Department ("NYPD") officers; a John/Jane Doe physician; and a John/Jane Doe ambulance driver. Plaintiff originally filed this action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. *See Hussey v. St. Lukes Roosevelt Hosp. Ctr.*, No. 23-CV-2184 (PKC) (LB) (E.D.N.Y. May 16, 2023). This case is one of 60 cases Plaintiff filed in the Eastern District in recent months, including 43 cases filed on the same day. On May 16, 2023, the Eastern District transferred this action to this court. (ECF 5.) By order dated August 15, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the

¹ Plaintiff was detained in the Anna M. Kross Center on Rikers Island at the time he filed this action.

² Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff did not submit a prisoner authorization with the complaint. By order dated May 31, 2023, the Court directed

reasons set forth below, the Court dismisses the complaint but grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to replead his claims in an amended complaint.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Prison Litigation Reform Act requires that federal courts screen complaints brought by prisoners who seek relief against a governmental entity or an officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a prisoner's IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B), 1915A(b); *see Abbas v. Dixon*, 480 F.3d 636, 639 (2d Cir. 2007). The Court must also dismiss a complaint if the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially

Plaintiff to either pay the \$402.00 in fees or submit a prisoner authorization. (ECF 7.) The Court received Plaintiff's prisoner authorization on July 18, 2023.

plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

The following allegations are taken from the complaint. On March 14, 2021, NYPD officers "forced" Plaintiff to go to Saint Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center. (ECF 1, at 1.)³ At the hospital, a physician diagnosed Plaintiff with "adjustment disorder," a diagnosis with which Plaintiff disagrees. Plaintiff maintains that he "make[s] more adjustment to [him]self & the quality of [his] life, compared to the average person & independently." (*Id.* at 2.)

Plaintiff describes his injuries as, "[m]ental cruelty due to another medical error & involuntary placement, as well as lost wages[] and the impairment of a sensitive work schedule."

(Id.)

As relief, Plaintiff seeks "release of all non public information . . . relative to the incident above" as well as an order "control[ling] . . . the conduct of NYPD officer's . . . in order to stop all [his] hospital visits in the future." (*Id*.)

³ Plaintiff writes using irregular capitalization. For readability, the Court uses standard capitalization when quoting from the complaint. All other grammar, punctuation, and spelling are as in the original unless otherwise indicated.

DISCUSSION

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

A. Private Defendants

A claim for relief under Section 1983 must allege facts showing that each defendant acted under the color of a state "statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Private parties therefore generally are not liable under the statute. Sykes v. Bank of America, 723 F.3d 399, 406 (2d Cir. 2013) (citing Brentwood Acad. v. Tenn. Secondary Sch. Athletic Ass'n, 531 U.S. 288, 295 (2001)); see also Ciambriello v. Cnty. of Nassau, 292 F.3d 307, 323 (2d Cir. 2002) ("[T]he United States Constitution regulates only the Government, not private parties."). Defendant Saint Lukes Roosevelt Hospital Center is a private hospital, and therefore the hospital and its employees cannot be held liable under Section 1983. See McGugan v. Aldana-Bernier, 752 F.3d 224, 229 (2d Cir. 2014) (finding that a private hospital and its staff are not state actors under Section 1983 when they involuntarily commit a plaintiff to a psychiatric hospital under New York's Mental Hygiene Law); White v. St. Joseph's Hosp., 369 F. App'x 225, 226 (2d Cir. 2010) (summary order) (noting that private institutions, such as hospitals, generally do not act under color of state law); Johnson v. City of New York, No. 20-CV-3083, 2021 WL 4896477, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 23, 2021) ("Although private hospitals are regulated by the state and required to obey state laws, they 'are generally not proper § 1983 defendants because they do not act under color of state law." (citation omitted)), report & recommendation adopted, 2021 WL 4479384 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2021). Plaintiff therefore fails to state a Section 1983 claim against this Saint Lukes Roosevelt Hospital Center or its employees. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

B. False Arrest

Plaintiff's allegations that he was arrested and taken to a hospital where he was diagnosed with a mood disorder suggest that he may be attempting to assert a claim that he was falsely arrested and taken to a mental health facility. "A [Section] 1983 claim for false arrest, resting on the Fourth Amendment right of an individual to be free from unreasonable seizures, including arrest without probable cause, is substantially the same as a claim for false arrest under New York law." Weyant v. Okst, 101 F.3d 845, 852 (2d Cir. 1996) (citations omitted); Manuel v. City of Joliet, Ill., 137 S. Ct. 911, 925 (2017) ("[T]o flesh out the elements of [a] constitutional tort, we must look for 'tort analogies.'").

Under New York law, a plaintiff claiming false arrest must prove four elements: "(1) the defendant intended to confine [the plaintiff], (2) the plaintiff was conscious of the confinement, (3) the plaintiff did not consent to the confinement, and (4) the confinement was not otherwise privileged." *Ackerson v. City of White Plains*, 702 F.3d 15, 19 (2d Cir. 2012) (citing *Broughton v. New York*, 37 N.Y.2d 451 (1975)).

In the mental health context, New York law provides that a police officer "may take into custody any person who appears to be mentally ill and is conducting himself or herself in a manner which is likely to result in serious harm to the person or others." N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 9.41.15.⁴ Probable cause to believe that the criteria for a mental health arrest under Section

⁴ New York's mental health statute defines the phrase "likely to result in serious harm" as:

⁽a) a substantial risk of physical harm to the person as manifested by threats of or attempts at suicide or serious bodily harm or other conduct demonstrating that the person is a danger to himself or herself, or (b) a substantial risk of physical harm to other persons as manifested by homicidal or other violent behavior by which others are placed in reasonable fear of serious physical harm.

N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 9.01.

9.41 has been met is a defense to a false arrest claim arising from such an arrest. *Kerman v. City of New York*, 261 F.3d 229, 235 n.8 (2d Cir. 2001) ("We interpret [N.Y. Mental Hyg. Law § 9.41] consistently with the requirements of the Fourth Amendment and therefore assume that the same objective reasonableness standard is applied to police discretion under this section."); *Tsesarskaya v. City of New York*, 843 F. Supp. 2d 446, 455-56 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). For a mental health arrest, "police officers must have 'reasonable grounds for believing that the person seized is dangerous to herself or others." *Guan v. City of New York*, 37 F.4th 797, 805 (2d Cir. 2022) (quoting *Anthony v. City of New York*, 339 F.3d 129, 137 (2d Cir. 2003)); *see Heller v. Bedford Cent. Sch. Dist.*, 144 F. Supp. 3d 596, 621-22 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) ("[A] showing of probable cause in the mental health seizure context requires only a probability or substantial charge of dangerous behavior, not an actual showing of such behavior." (citation omitted)), *aff'd*, 65 F. App'x 49 (2d Cir. 2016).

If "the facts known by the arresting officer at the time of the arrest objectively provided probable cause to arrest," the arrest is privileged, and the plaintiff cannot state a claim for false arrest. *Devenpeck v. Alford*, 543 U.S. 146, 152 (2004). "Probable cause can exist even where it is based on mistaken information, so long as the arresting officer acted reasonably and in good faith in relying on that information." *Bernard v. United States*, 25 F.3d 98, 102 (2d Cir. 1994); *Curley v. Vill. of Suffern*, 268 F.3d 65, 70 (2d Cir. 2001) (holding that a police officer is "not required to explore and eliminate every theoretically plausible claim of innocence before making an arrest"). Where the facts surrounding the arrest are uncontroverted, the determination whether probable cause existed may be made by the court as a matter of law. *Weyant*, 101 F.3d at 852. Even where factual disputes exist, a Section 1983 claim may fail if the plaintiff's version of events is

6

sufficient to establish probable cause to arrest. *Mistretta v. Prokesch*, 5 F. Supp. 2d 128, 133 (E.D.N.Y. 1998).

Here, Plaintiff's bare allegation NYPD officers "forced" him to go to the hospital is insufficient to state a plausible Section 1983 claim for false arrest. Plaintiff provides no context for his arrest and subsequent hospitalization, nor does he allege any facts suggesting that the arresting officer or officers lacked probable cause for the arrest. The Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint alleging additional facts in support of his claim for false arrest.

C. Claims under State Law

A district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims when it "has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3). Generally, "when the federal-law claims have dropped out of the lawsuit in its early stages and only state-law claims remain, the federal court should decline the exercise of jurisdiction." *Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350 n.7 (1988). Having dismissed the federal claims of which the Court has original jurisdiction, the Court declines to exercise its supplemental jurisdiction of any state law claims Plaintiff may be asserting. *See Kolari v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp.*, 455 F.3d 118, 122 (2d Cir. 2006) ("Subsection (c) of § 1367 'confirms the discretionary nature of supplemental jurisdiction by enumerating the circumstances in which district courts can refuse its exercise." (quoting *City of Chicago v. Int'l Coll. of Surgeons*, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997))).

D. Leave to Amend

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has

cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid Section 1983 claim for false arrest, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to file an amended complaint to detail his claims.

Plaintiff must name as the defendant(s) in the caption⁵ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint.⁶ The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff

⁵ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

⁶ For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Correction Officer John Doe #1 on duty August 31, 2010, at Sullivan Correctional Facility, during the 7-3 p.m. shift."

should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

Plaintiff may consult the legal clinic in this District that assists people who are parties in civil cases and do not have lawyers. The Clinic is run by a private organization called the New York Legal Assistance Group ("NYLAG"); it is not part of, or run by, the court (and, among other things, therefore cannot accept filings on behalf of the court, which must still be made by any *pro se* party through the Pro Se Intake Unit).

To receive limited-scope assistance from the Clinic, Plaintiff may mail a signed retainer and intake form to the NYLAG Pro Se Clinic at 40 Foley Square, LL22, NY, NY 10007. Once the paperwork is received, the Clinic will coordinate contact with the litigant. Once the

paperwork is received, it may take up to two weeks for the Clinic to contact the litigant. Copies

of the Clinic's flyer, retainer, and intake form are attached to this order.

CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses the complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief may be

granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

The Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to file an amended complaint that complies with

the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se

Intake Unit within 60 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended

Complaint," and label the document with docket number 23-CV-4379 (LTS). An Amended Civil

Rights Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff

fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure,

the Court will direct the Clerk of Court to enter judgment consistent with this order.

Copies of the NYLAG Clinic's flyer, retainer, and intake form are attached to this order.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

August 28, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

10

			DISTRICT COURT LICT OF NEW YORK		
(In the space above enter			r the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).) t-	AMENDED COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983	
				_ _ Jury Trial: _	□ Yes □ No (check one)
				Civ	()
canno please additi listed	ot fit the name write "se conal sheet in the above	nes of all e attach of paper e caption	he full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you to find the defendants in the space provided, ed" in the space above and attach an with the full list of names. The names in must be identical to those contained in not be included here.)	_	
I.	Parties	in this	complaint:		
A.	-	ment. I	e, identification number, and the name and to the same for any additional plaintiffs name		=
Plain	tiff's	Addres	t Institutions		
B.	may be	served.	nts' names, positions, places of employment, a Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below a Attach additional sheets of paper as necessar	are identical to t	
Defendant No. 1		1	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress		

Defenda	nt No. 2	Name	Siliciu #
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defenda	nt No. 3	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defenda	nt No. 4	Name	Shield #
2 4141144	1,0,	Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defenda	nt No. 5	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
State as caption of You may rise to you	of this complay wish to inclour claims.	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earnt is involved in this action, along with the dates dude further details such as the names of other per poor to cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is the content of the	and locations of all relevant e ersons involved in the events of allege a number of related c
State as caption of You may rise to you number a	briefly as poof this complar wish to inclour claims. I	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earlint is involved in this action, along with the dates dude further details such as the names of other perports of the perport of the per	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events allege a number of related c tional sheets of paper as nece
State as caption of You may rise to you number a	briefly as poof this complar wish to inclour claims. I	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earned in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is a separate paragraph.	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events allege a number of related c tional sheets of paper as nece
State as caption of You may rise to you number a	briefly as po of this comple wish to inclour claims. I and set forth	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earned in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is a separate paragraph.	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events of allege a number of related critical sheets of paper as necestance.
State as caption of You may rise to you number a	briefly as poof this completed wish to include the cour claims. I hand set forth for what instit	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earnt is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additution did the events giving rise to your claim(s)	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events allege a number of related crional sheets of paper as necestational sheets of paper as necestations.
State as caption of You may rise to you number a	briefly as poof this completed wish to include the cour claims. I hand set forth for what instit	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earn the introduced in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) and institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events allege a number of related crional sheets of paper as necestational sheets of paper as necestations.
State as caption of You may rise to you number at A. B. C.	briefly as poof this compley wish to include the cour claims. I hand set forth in what institute where in the what date	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earn the interior in this action, along with the dates lade further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional ution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and your cla	and locations of all relevant e ersons involved in the events go allege a number of related claim also beets of paper as nece occur? m(s) occur? g rise to your claim(s) occur
State as caption of You may rise to you number at A. B. C.	briefly as poof this compley wish to include the cour claims. I hand set forth in what institute where in the what date	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earn the introduced in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) and institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	and locations of all relevant e croons involved in the events and allege a number of related continual sheets of paper as necestoccur? m(s) occur? g rise to your claim(s) occur.
State as caption of You may rise to you number at A. B. C.	briefly as poof this compley wish to include the cour claims. I hand set forth in what institute where in the what date	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how earn the interior in this action, along with the dates lade further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional ution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) institution did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and your cla	and locations of all relevant crsons involved in the event callege a number of related tional sheets of paper as necoccur? m(s) occur? g rise to your claim(s)

Was		
anyone		
else involved?		
invoiveu:		
Who else saw what happened?	III.	Injuries:
	111.	111jui 1200
	If you treatm	sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical tent, if any, you required and received.
	IV.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
	The D	rison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be
		ht with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a
	prison	her confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are ble are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
	A.	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
		Yes No

	the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the rise to your claim(s).
Does proce	the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance
Yes _	No Do Not Know
	the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) cover some or all of your claim(s)?
Yes _	No Do Not Know
If YE	S, which claim(s)?
Did y	ou file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?
Yes _	No
	o, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, in, or other correctional facility?
Yes _	No
If you grieva	did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the ance?
1. 	Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?
2.	What was the result, if any?
3. the hi	What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to ghest level of the grievance process.
If you	did not file a grievance:
1.	If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
v.	Relief:	
State w	hat you	want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that
you ar	e seeking	g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ious lawsuits:		
A.	Have action	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this 1?		
	Yes	No		
В.	there	ar answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using the format.)		
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plaintiff			
	Defendants			
	2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)			
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit		
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No		
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition		
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)		
C.	Have	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment?		
	Yes_	No		
D.	there	ur answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using time format.)		
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plain Defe	ndants		
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)		
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	5	Approximate data of filing lawsuit		

Rev. 01/2010 6

On these claims

> other claims

	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No			
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition			
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)			
I decla	re unde	er penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			
Signed	this	_ day of, 20			
		Signature of Plaintiff			
		Inmate Number			
		Institution Address			
Note:		intiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint and provide name numbers and addresses.			
I decla	re under	penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20_, I am delivering			
	_	to prison authorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for Pistrict of New York.			
		Signature of Plaintiff			



Since 1990, NYLAG has provided free civil legal services to New Yorkers who cannot afford private attorneys.

Free Legal Assistance for Self-Represented Incarcerated Civil Litigants in Federal District Court

The NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys, law students, and paralegals to assist those who are representing themselves or planning to represent themselves, including incarcerated litigants, in civil lawsuits in the Southern District of New York federal court, excluding habeas cases. The clinic is not part of or run by the court.

Even if a litigant has consulted with Clinic staff, unless they retain other counsel and that counsel enters a notice of appearance, they remain unrepresented; are responsible for doing whatever is necessary in connection with the case; and must still submit all court papers to the Pro Se Intake Unit, located in Room 105 of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York, or by following the court's instructions for filing via email as a pro se litigant.

The Clinic Can:

- Assist with amending complaints and responding to motions to dismiss;
- Represent litigants for settlement purposes and, in limited circumstances, for depositions;
- Assist with written discovery;
- Recruit pro bono counsel for depositions and trial;
 and
- Assist with oppositions to summary judgment.

Clinic staff cannot assist with habeas cases or criminal matters.

NYLAG may also be unable to assist if it determines, in its professional legal judgement, that (i) you have refused to cooperate with the Clinic's counsel or follow the Clinic's advice; (ii) any assistance would be unreasonably difficult for NYLAG to carry out; or (iii) your case is or will become frivolous, unreasonable, groundless, or without merit.

Contacting the Clinic:

To contact the clinic and request a copy of our retainer, please call (212) 659-6190 and leave a message or write to us at the following address:

NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants Thurgood Marshall Federal Courthouse Room LL22 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Please mail a signed retainer back to the clinic at the above address. Once the paperwork is received, clinic staff will contact you. It may take up to two weeks.

Disclaimer: The information contained herein is for informational purposes only and is not legal advice or a substitute for legal counsel, nor does it constitute advertising or a solicitation.



LEGAL CLINIC FOR PRO SE LITIGANTS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LIMITED SCOPE LEGAL ASSISTANCE RETAINER AGREEMENT

You retain the New York Legal Assistance Group (NYLAG) to provide you with limited scope legal assistance through its Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York (Clinic) under the terms set forth below.

I. LIMITS OF ASSISTANCE

The Clinic agrees to provide only limited scope legal assistance in connection with your matter.

This means that:

- You remain a self-represented (pro se) litigant and are responsible for all aspects of your case. NYLAG is not your attorney of record in this matter. In the event that you are or become a party to a case in the Southern District of New York or any other forum, NYLAG will not enter an appearance or otherwise act on your behalf without expressly agreeing to do so and entering into a separate signed agreement with you. NYLAG has no obligation to enter into any such agreement.
- NYLAG has sole discretion to determine the specific type of services provided. These services may include providing advice and counsel about your case, explaining court orders and procedures, reviewing and commenting on your drafts, assisting with drafting, and discussing strategy.
- This retainer covers an initial consultation only. NYLAG can stop assisting you with this matter at any time for any reason consistent with the New York Rules of Professional Conduct.
- NYLAG has not agreed to represent or assist you on any other matter in the future. If NYLAG does agree to any representation on another matter, then a separate signed retainer agreement will be necessary.
- You may request but are not guaranteed subsequent appointments. NYLAG will only provide assistance on subsequent appointments if it provides you with confirmation to you of such assistance, via email or otherwise, with such additional assistance governed by the terms of this agreement, including that the assistance is for that consultation only and that NYLAG has sole discretion to decide whether it will provide any additional future consultations. You are responsible for and must meet all deadlines in your case, regardless of whether you are able to have an appointment with the Clinic.

II. FREE ASSISTANCE, NON-ATTORNEY PROVIDERS, AND COMPETENCY

NYLAG does not charge for this assistance. You may be assisted by law students and/or paralegals under the supervision of an attorney consistent with the Rules of Professional Responsibility. NYLAG's assistance does not guarantee success or any particular outcome but that NYLAG will provide competent assistance.

III. TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE

Your participation is entirely voluntary, and you are free to stop receiving NYLAG's limited scope assistance at any time. NYLAG may stop providing limited assistance at its sole discretion consistent with the New York Rules of Professional Conduct. If NYLAG chooses to stop providing limited assistance, it will provide notice by email, mail, or phone.

IV. CONFIDENTIALITY

NYLAG will take all reasonable steps to maintain any information you provide as confidential.

V. REVIEW AND CONSENT

By signing and writing today's date below, you indicate that you: have read and understand this agreement; consent to the terms of this agreement; and understand the possible risks and benefits of proceeding with limited scope assistance.

If you have questions or concerns, please	e indicate on this form and someon	ne will arrange to speak with you
Signature		Date

Once you have completed this form, please mail it and the completed demographic form to the New York Legal Assistance Group, Pro Se Clinic, 40 Foley Square, LL22, New York, NY 10007.

New York Legal Assistance Group					
Name	Date of Birt	Date of Birth			
Facility					
Identification #	Email (if ava	Email (if available)			
How did you hear about our clinic	? (Circle One)				
Pro Se Intake Office	Order/Letter from the Judge	Conference/Hearing with the Judge			
Pro Se Information Package	Website	Friend/Family			
Other					
Ethnicity (Circle One)					
Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Caucasian			
African American	Middle Eastern	Decline to Answer			
African	Caribbean				
Native American	South Asian				
Education Level (Circle One)					
8 th Grade or Less	GED	2-4 years of College/Vocational School			
Some high school	College graduate	Decline to Answer			

Gender:

High school graduate

SDNY Case Number:

Once you have completed this form, please mail it and the completed retainer to the New York Legal Assistance Group, Pro Se Clinic, 40 Foley Square, LL22, New York, NY 10007.

Graduate degree